DEPARTMENT OF BENEFIT PAYMENTS

April 23, 1974



ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 74-68

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS



SOBJECT:

SMOCK v. CARLESON Superseded by

CL + 77-15

REFERENCE:

ksued 372-77

A Judgment was issued in the case of Smock v. Carleson by the Superior Court of Alameda County on February 26, 1974, and was amended on March 25, 1974.

The Judgment (page 2, lines 18-24) orders that the Director of Benefit Payments and his:

"...officers, agents, employees, representatives, and each of them, their successors in office, and those acting in their behalf, and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, shall be and are hereby permanently enjoined from enforcing EAS § 44-213.4 to the extent that it results in the exclusion of a needy [unmarried] natural parent, residing with his or her eligible child(ren), from the AFDC budget unit for purposes of AFDC grant computations."

The word "unmarried" was inadvertently omitted from this portion of the Judgment.

You are directed to comply with the portion of the Judgment quoted above. Effective immediately, EAS § 44-213.4 must be applied so that a needy unmarried natural parent residing with his eligible child(ren) is included in the FBU for purposes of computing the AFDC grant as well as for the purpose of determining financial eligibility.

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS April 23, 1974

Page Two

The Judgment is effective as of February 27, 1974, the date of Entry of Judgment. You are directed to identify and locate all individuals who since February 27, 1974 have had their grants terminated or applications denied, or whose grants were computed contrary to the Judgment. Eligibility and grant redeterminations and payment of retroactive aid in such cases shall be accomplished as soon as administratively possible.

A Notice of Appeal has been filed in the <u>Smock</u> case. Retroactive aid prior to February 27, 1974 is not required while the case is under appellate review. I have been advised by the Attorney General that the portions of the Judgment not quoted above are stayed pending the appeal.

A copy of the Judgment and the Correction to the Judgment is attached.

Sincerely,

DAVID B. SWOAP

Mick B. Sugap

Director

Attachments

JERRY ROSSMAN 1 DAVID CHAVKIN, Certified Law Student Berkeley Neighborhood Legal Services 2 2229 Fourth Street Berkeley, CA 94710 3 Telephone: (415) 841-9274 RALPH SANTIAGO ABASCAL JAY-ALLEN EISEN 5 EDMUND S. SCHAFFER J. KENDRICK KRESSE 6 Entry Date San Francisco Neighborhood Legal Assistance Foundation 7 1095 Market Street, Suite 302 San Francisco, CA 94103 8 Telephone: (415) 626-3811 LAURA GLICKMAN Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles 10 615 North Alvarado Street Los Angeles, CA 90026 11 Telephone: (213) 381-7711 12 ROY SCHOENBERG Welfare Legal Assistance Center 13 421 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 14 Telephone: (418) 427-1322 15 Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs 16 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 17 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 18 RUTH HEFFENER SMOCK, et al., 19 Petitioners-Plaintiffs, NO. 432978 20 VS. 21 JUDGMENT BY COURT ROBERT B. CARLESON, et al., UNDER CCP 5437c 22 Respondents-Defendants. 23 24 On August 16, 1973, this Court granted the motion of 25

petitioners-plaintiffs under CCP 5437c to strike the answer of

26

respondents-defendants and to enter judgment for petitionersplaintiffs. In accordance with that order,

- 9

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the provisions of Eligibility and Assistance Standards ("EAS") §44-213.4 are inconsistent with, alter the scope of and impair the directive of the Legislature in sections 11201 and 11250 of the Welfare and Institutions Code that AFDC shall be granted to families with related children under the age of 18 years in need therof because they have been deprived of parental support or care due to the unemployment of a natural parent or parents.

For the foregoing reason and pursuant to Govt. Codes \$\$11373 and 11374, EAS \$44-314.4 is void and of no effect in-sofar as it operates to exclude a needy unmarried natural parent, residing with his or her eligible child(ren), from the AFDC budget unit for purposes of AFDC grant computation.

defendants, their officers, agents, employees, representatives, and each of them, their successors in office, and those acting in their behalf, and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, shall be and are hereby permanently enjoined from enforcing EAS §44-213.4 to the extent that it results in the exclusion of a needy natural parent, residing with his or her eligible child(ren), from the AFDC budget unit for purposes of AFDC grant computations.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a writ of mandate shall issue from this Court commanding respondents-defendants and

their successors in office forthwith:

- 1. To return all money withheld from each of the named petitioners-plaintiffs, pursuant to EAS \$44-213.4, from October 1, 1971, or the date of his or her application for assistance if later, through and including the date of entry of this judgment, within sixty (60) days following the date of entry of this judgment;
- 2. For the purposes of providing notice to the members of petitioners-plaintiffs' class that they are eligible for the return to them of all money withheld pursuant to EAS \$44-213.4 from October 1, 1971, or the date of their applications for assistance if later, through and including the date of entry of this judgment:
 - (a) To take appropriate action to instruct each and every County Welfare Department to include such notice (the wording of which is to be specified by respondents-defendants and approved by counsel for petitioners-plaintiffs) with and no later than the first mailing of a "stuffer" or notice of a general character that may be sent to all of its AFDC recipients subsequent to the forty-fifth day after the date of entry of this judgment;
 - (b) To take appropriate action to instruct each and every County Welfare Department to immediately post the same notice as in (a) above, in a conspicuous place and manner in the public areas of each office of the

County Welfare Department for a period of at least nine (9) months. 3. To make and file a return to said writ within ninety (90) days following service thereof, setting forth what they have done to comply. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court will retain jurisdiction over the issue of whether or not petitioners-plaintiffs are entitled to costs and attorneys fees. and presented for signature and signed FEB 26 1974 Dated: Judge of the/Superior Court Judgment entered on ______, 1973, in the Judgment Book, Volume No. _____, Page_____ JACK G. BLUE, Clerk Deputy Clerk

JACK G. REUE, County Clerk Louis Hurley, Deputy

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

RUTH HEFFENER SMOCK, et al.,

Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

Vs.

CORRECTION TO
JUDGMENT (CCP § 473)

ROBERT B. CARLESON, et al.,

Respondents-Defendants.

б

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, it is ordered that the JUDGMENT BY COURT UNDER CCP \$437c, entered on February 27, 1974, in Book 322 at page 18 (reel), be and is hereby corrected by making the following changes:

- 1. Change paragraph 2 to paragraph 3;
- 2. Insert the following at page 3, line 8:

"2. To return to each member of petitionersplaintiffs' class, who may inquire or make application therefor,
within a reasonable time following such inquiry or application,
all money withheld from him or her pursuant to EAS \$44-213.4
from October 1, 1971, or the date of his or her application for

assistance if le er, through and including the date of entry of the judgment";

- 3. At page 3, line 20, change "AFDC" to "AFDC-U";
- 4. Insert at page 3, line 22, after the semicolon, the following:

"provided that, if no such mailing occurs within ninety (90) days after the date of entry of the judgment, then to include such approved notice with and no later than the first mailing of a "stuffer" or notice of a general character that may be sent to all of its AFDC recipients subsequent to the ninetieth day after the date of entry of the judgment;";

5. Insert the following at page 4, line 6:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a peremptory writ of mandate shall issue from this Court remanding the proceedings as to Petitioner CAROL AMYX to Respondent CARLESON and his successors in office and commanding respondent and his successors in office forthwith:

- (1) To set aside the decision dated August 18, 1972, in the proceeding entitled, 'In the Matter of the Hearing of CAROL AMYX;'
- (2) Immediately upon service of the said writ and at all times thereafter to include both petitioner CAROL AMYX and her child's other unmarried parent in the Family Budget Unit for purposes of determination of financial eligibility while they remain needy and reside with the child;
 - (3) To determine within sixty (60) days of the

date of entry of this judgment the full additional amount of aid to which petitioner's family was entitled, by including both unmarried natural parents in the grant computation during the entire period October 1, 1971, through and including the date of entry of this judgment;

- (4) Immediately upon determination of the amount specified in the preceding paragraph, to pay that amount to petitioner's family;
- (5) To take any further action specially enjoined upon respondent by law;
- (6) To make and file a return to said writ within ninety (90) days following service thereof, setting forth what he has done to comply."

Dated: MAR 25 ..

MAR 25 1974

LIONEL J. WILSON

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT